



# An Application of Graph Theory to Additive Number Theory

NOGA ALON\* AND P. ERDÖS

A sequence of integers  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n\}$  is a  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence if the number of representations of every integer as the sum of two distinct  $a_i$ s is at most  $k$ . In this note we show that every  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence of  $n$  terms is a union of  $c_2^{(k)} \cdot n^{1/3}$   $B_2^{(1)}$  sequences, and that there is a  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence of  $n$  terms which is not a union of  $c_1^{(k)} \cdot n^{1/3}$   $B_2^{(1)}$  sequences. This solves a problem raised in [3, 4]. Our proof uses some results from extremal graph theory. We also discuss some related problems and results.

Sidon called a finite or infinite sequence of integers  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$  a  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence if the number of representations of every integer as the sum of two distinct  $a_i$ s is at most  $k$ . In particular he was interested in  $B_2^{(1)}$ , or, for short,  $B_2$  sequences, i.e. the case where all the sums  $a_i + a_j$  are distinct.

Let  $f_n$  denote the maximal cardinality of a  $B_2$  subsequence of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Turán and Erdős proved [5]

$$n^{1/2} - O(n^{5/16}) < f_n < n^{1/2} + O(n^{1/4}). \quad (1)$$

The lower bound of (1) was also proved by Chowla. Let  $H_n$  denote the largest  $r$  such that every sequence of  $n$  integers contains a  $B_2$  subsequence of cardinality  $r$ . Komlós, Sulyok and Szemerédi [6] proved a general theorem which implies

$$H_n > c \cdot n^{1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $c$  is an absolute constant. By (1)  $c \leq 1$ , and maybe,

$$H_n = (1 + o(1))n^{1/2}.$$

This does not seem to be easy to prove.

Let  $H_n^{(k)}$  denote the largest  $r$  such that every  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence of  $n$  integers contains a  $B_2$  subsequence of cardinality  $r$ . In [3] an infinite  $B_2^{(2)}$  sequence which is not the union of a finite number of  $B_2$  subsequences is constructed. A similar construction shows that there exists a  $B_2^{(2)}$  sequence of  $n$  terms with no  $B_2$  subsequence of cardinality  $\geq c \cdot n^{2/3}$  (see [4]). Thus

$$(H_n^{(k)} \leq) H_n^{(2)} < c \cdot n^{2/3}. \quad (3)$$

In this note we prove

**THEOREM 1.** *Every  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence of  $n$  terms is a union of  $c_2^{(k)} \cdot n^{1/3}$   $B_2$  sequences. On the other hand, by (3) there is a  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence of  $n$  terms which is not a union of  $c_1^{(k)} \cdot n^{1/3}$   $B_2$  sequences.*

At the moment we cannot strengthen this result to  $(c_3^{(k)} + o(1))n^{1/3}$ . It is perhaps interesting to observe that the dependence on  $k$  is so weak. Note that Theorem 1 implies that

$$H_n^{(k)} \geq c_4^{(k)} \cdot n^{2/3}. \quad (4)$$

This solves a problem raised in [3, 4].

\* Research supported in part by the Weizmann Fellowship for Scientific Research.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since  $(3/c)(n - c \cdot n^{2/3})^{1/3} + 1 \leq (3/c)n^{1/3}$ , repeated application of (4) implies the assertion of Theorem 1 (with  $c_2^{(k)} = 3/c_4^{(k)}$ ). We thus have to prove (4). Let  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n\}$  be a  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a 4-uniform hypergraph on the set of vertices  $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  where  $\{i, j, l, m\}$  is an edge if  $a_i + a_j = a_l + a_m$ . The number of edges of  $G$  is clearly  $< \frac{1}{2}(k-1) \cdot \binom{n}{2} \leq \frac{1}{4}(k-1) \cdot n^2$ . Note that if  $F \subseteq V$  is independent, (i.e. no edge of  $G$  is contained in  $F$ ), then  $\{a_f; f \in F\}$  is a  $B_2$  subsequence of  $A$ . Thus we have to show that  $G$  contains an independent subset of size  $\geq c(k) \cdot n^{2/3}$ . This follows either from the known results about Turán's problem for hypergraphs (see, e.g. D. de Caen [1, inequality (5)]) or from an easy application of the probabilistic method. Indeed, choose every vertex in  $V$  independently with probability  $c \cdot n^{-1/3}$  to obtain a subset  $U$  of  $V$  of cardinality  $(c + o(1)) \cdot n^{2/3}$  containing  $\leq ((k-1)/4 + o(1))c^4 \cdot n^{2/3}$  edges.  $F$  is obtained from  $U$  by deleting one vertex from each such edge. If  $c = c(k)$  is chosen appropriately we clearly obtain the desired result. This completes the proof.

Using a similar, though somewhat more complicated, probabilistic argument we can show that the analogue of (4) holds also for infinite sequences, namely:

THEOREM 2. Every infinite  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$  contains a  $B_2$  subsequence  $C$  such that for every  $n \geq 1$

$$|C \cap \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}| \geq [c^{(k)} n^{2/3}]. \quad (5)$$

OUTLINE OF PROOF. For  $i \geq 1$  choose, independently,  $a_i$  with probability  $c/i^{1/3}$  to get a sequence  $D = \{d_1 < d_2 < \dots\}$ . A quadruple  $\{d_i, d_j, d_l, d_m\}$  of elements of  $D$  is *bad* if  $d_i + d_j = d_l + d_m$ . Let  $C$  be the subsequence of  $A$  obtained from  $D$  by deleting the largest element of every bad quadruple. Obviously  $D$  is a  $B_2$  sequence.

Easy estimates of the expected values and the variances of the random variables  $|D \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}|$  and  $|\{Q: Q \text{ is a bad quadruple in } D \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}\}|$  show that if  $c = c(k)$  is sufficiently small, then, with positive probability, (5) holds for all  $n = 2^r$ . This implies the validity of (5) (with a smaller constant  $c^{(k)}$ ) for all  $n > 0$ .

Another property of  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequences is given in the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. Every (finite or infinite)  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence is a union of  $c = c(k)$  subsequences, each of which contains no arithmetic progression of three terms.

PROOF. Let  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$  be a  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a 3-uniform hypergraph on the set of vertices  $V = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  in which  $\{i, j, l\}$  is an edge if  $a_i + a_j = 2a_l$ . We must show that  $V$  can be covered by  $c(k)$  independent subsets. Let  $H$  be an induced subgraph of  $G$  on  $r$  vertices. Clearly  $H$  contains at most  $r \cdot k$  edges and hence contains a vertex of degree at most  $3k$ . Thus, by an easy induction, the vertices of any finite subgraph of  $G$  can be partitioned to  $\leq 3k + 1$  independent subsets. This proves the theorem for finite sequences. The infinite case follows, by the compactness principle.

Similar to Theorem 1 is the following.

THEOREM 4. Every  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence of  $n$  terms is a union of  $c_2^{(k)} \cdot n^{1/(2k-1)} B_2^{(k-1)}$  subsequences. On the other hand if  $k = 2^s$  there exists a  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence of  $n$  terms which is not the union of  $c_1^{(k)} \cdot n^{1/(2k-1)} B_2^{(k-1)}$  subsequences.

PROOF. The first part of the theorem is proved as before. For the second part, we consider the following construction. Put  $n = m^{2k-1}$ . Let  $A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s$  be disjoint sets of integers,  $|A_i| = m^{2^i}$ . Let  $G = (V, E)$  be the complete  $(s+1)$ -uniform  $(s+1)$ -partite hypergraph on the classes of vertices  $A_0, \dots, A_s$ , i.e.  $V = \bigcup_{i=0}^s A_i$  and  $E$  consists of all  $(s+1)$ -subsets of  $V$  having exactly one element from each  $A_i$ . Clearly  $|E| = \prod_{i=0}^s |A_i| = n$ . For each edge  $e \in E$ , put  $a_e = \sum_{v \in e} 10^v$ . One can easily check that  $A = \{a_e; e \in E\}$  is a  $B_2^{(k)}$  sequence of  $n$  terms. A standard hypergraph theoretic argument (analogous to that of [2]) shows that every subgraph of  $G$  of more than  $c(k)n^{1-1/(2k-1)} = c(k)m^{2k-2}$  edges contains a copy of a complete  $(s+1)$ -partite hypergraph with 2 vertices in each class. Therefore for every subsequence  $D$  of  $A$  of more than  $c(k)n^{1-1/(2k-1)}$  terms there are  $a_i^1, a_i^2 \in A_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq s$ ) such that all the  $2^{s+1}$  numbers  $\sum_{i=0}^s 10^{a_i^{\varepsilon_i}}$  ( $\varepsilon_i \in \{1, 2\}$ ) are in  $D$ , and hence  $D$  is not a  $B_2^{(k-1)}$  sequence. Thus no  $B_2^{(k-1)}$  subsequence of  $A$  has cardinality  $> c(k)n^{1-1/(2k-1)}$  and the assertion of the theorem follows.

It seems likely that every sequence of  $n$  terms is a union of  $(1+o(1))n^{1/2}$   $B_2$ -subsequences, but this seems to be very difficult, (and would imply, of course, that  $c = 1+o(1)$  in (2)). However, one can easily modify the proof of the lower bound of (1) to show that  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is a union of  $(1+o(1))n^{1/2}$   $B_2$ -sequences.

The method of this note implies easily that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $c = c(\varepsilon)$  such that the sequence  $\{1, 2^2, 3^2, 4^2, \dots, n^2\}$  contains a  $B_2$ -subsequence of cardinality  $c \cdot n^{2/3-\varepsilon}$ . We do not know how close this bound is to the truth. Maybe  $n^{2/3-\varepsilon}$  can be replaced by  $n^{1-\varepsilon}$ . However, by Landau's well known result on the density of the sums of two squares one can easily show an upper bound of  $c' \cdot n/(\log n)^{1/4}$  for this cardinality.

We conclude this note with another problem. Call an (infinite) sequence  $\{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$  free if for any two distinct sets of indices  $I, J$   $\sum_{i \in I} a_i \neq \sum_{j \in J} a_j$ . Pisier was interested in a condition that guarantees that a sequence  $A$  is a union of a finite number of free subsequences. He observed that a necessary condition is:

There exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that every finite subsequence  $B$  of  $A$   
has a free subsequence  $C$  of cardinality  $\geq \delta|B|$ . (6)

It seems unlikely that (6) is also sufficient. However, we could not find any counterexample. One can formulate, of course, the analogous problem for  $B_2$  sequences.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. de Caen, Extension of a theorem of Moon and Moser on complete subgraphs, *Ars Combinatoria* **16** (1983), 5-10.
2. P. Erdős, On extremal problems on graphs and generalized graphs, *Israel J. Math.* **2** (1964), 183-190.
3. P. Erdős, Some applications of Ramsey's Theorem to additive number theory, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* **1** (1980), 43-46.
4. P. Erdős, Extremal problems in number theory, combinatorics and geometry, *Proc. Inter. Congress in Warsaw*, 1983 (to appear).
5. Many references to  $B_2$  sequences can be found in: H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, *Sequences*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1966, chapters 2, 3.
6. J. Komlós, M. Sulyok and E. Szemerédi, Linear problems in combinatorial number theory, *Acta Math. Hungar. Acad. Sci.* **26** (1975), 113-121.

Received 20 May 1984

N. ALON

*Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
and Mathematics Research Centre, AT&T Bell Laboratories,  
Murray Hill, NJ 07974, U.S.A.*

and

P. ERDÖS

*Mathematical Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences  
Budapest H-1364, Hungary*